America's Only Monthly Revisionist Newsletter

Smith's Report

ON THE HOLOCAUST CONTROVERSY

Number 79 WWW.CODOH.COM April 2001

NOTEBOOK

Bradley R. Smith

About forty of you have ordered the working draft of ***HATE: A True story***. A number of you have replied with you reactions to the manuscript. Some replied in substantial detail, others made brief notes in the ms. itself. It has been very interesting and more than that, helpful.

You responses have caused me to make several decisions.

To begin (or end) with: while the final chapter of *HATE* is a good chapter, it's the wrong chapter to end the book with. I have to clear up certain obscurities in some of the autobiographical material. I'm going to consider the idea of adding illustrations to the text. I have finally left behind the idea of keeping the book as short as possible. I will put everything into it that needs to be there, which will give me a strong, substantial text to promote with media and on the Internet. It will cost more, it may push the publication date back a couple

Continued on page 4

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO FREE PRESS: What's a Free Press?

Received an email communication from Ian Marlier, editor-in-chief of the University of Chicago *Free Press*. The *Free Press* is a monthly with a circulation of about 7,000. The next issue, going to bed in six days, will address the problem of free speech on campus. There will be articles discussing the controversies produced by ads that David Horowitz and CODOH have run in college newspapers. The FP will focus on Horowitz, but CODOH will be mentioned throughout the issue. Marlier wonders if I would like to buy a full-page ad to run in this free speech issue. An inside page will cost $415.

While I have turned away from running big ads in student papers in favor or submitting opinion pieces, I'm not going to turn down an offer to run a full-page ad at the University of Chicago where Peter Novick (*The Americanization of the Holocaust*) teaches and when the editor of the paper himself solicits it from me.

I'll go for an inside page. At the moment $415 is a problem, but the *Free Press* will bill me. I send my article "The Holocaust Controversy: The Case for Open Debate" via email attachment It's the most recent version of the ad I ran

Continued on Page 3

LETTERS

I look forward to your observations regarding Smith's Report *and the issues it addresses. I read everything you write. Oftentimes it influences how I handle the work. Unfortunately, I can not reply to correspondence. I just don't have enough hours in the day. I have space to print a very small number of your letters. If you do not want your name published in* **SR**, *please make that plain. Thanks.*

[*Following is a note from my old right-hand man, Audrey. Due to the absurd circumstances of my life at this time, which I noted in the last issue of* ***SR****, Audrey and I have had to go our separate ways. While I have lost my right hand man, revisionism has gained a grand new voice, an energetic intellect, and a bundle of wired energy. My personal loss is everybody else's gain – and in the big picture it will prove to be my gain as well.*].

Bradley has very generously offered space in **SR** for me to tell you a bit about the work I'm now doing and to ask for your support. Bradley has been at this much, much, MUCH longer than I have, he has scored many breakthroughs over the years and will continue to do so, so I have no wish to divert so much as a penny of support away from him.

For those of you who don't have computers, however, I know that you're missing much of the action. I have developed an idea that I call "Truth Seeking Missiles," which I "fire" into cyberspace. They're generally essays that I've written under the pen name MacKenzie Paine and through a network of other Internet Activists we're able to reach tens of thousands of individuals with our message. I assure you, we've turned more than just a few heads with these Missiles, including some Catholic Priests, several editors and even a couple of professors. Since January I have fired nine such missiles, totaling roughly 30,000 words.

If you would like to receive these essays on a monthly basis I'd be happy to send them to you. I think the most efficient way would be for you to send 12 self-addressed manila envelopes with postage on each of the 12 to cover twenty 8 ½ x 11" pages. This way I could also include other articles and bits of information from cyberspace. Any contribution that you could send to help cover the costs of paper and copying would be appreciated. Please send the envelopes and any contributions payable to:

Ralph Pinque
R-06, P.O. Box 439016,
San Diego, CA 92143.

If you would like to receive the first nine Missiles, please send a sturdy envelope with postage to cover 35 pages. Hope to see you in the mail!

Audrey

I have just discovered your excellent Website on the Internet and thought it very informative. I should stress that I may not yet be a revisionist, but I'd like to find out the truth about the gas chambers, etc. Please send me information on CODOH. I am not online yet (I use the Internet in my local library once a week) but I hope to be getting access at home soon. I look forward to hearing from you.

SJW, East Sussex, England

Thank you for your thought-provoking research and documented essays. My only comment is that for the better part of my life I was greatly annoyed, and hurt, that one could not even broach certain topics without being branded an "anti-Semetic", or worse. I am a child of Ukrainian immigrants who were in Buchenwald. When I tell people this they are surprised. Many comment "But you're not Jewish!" Sigh. It seems no one understands that no one group has a monopoly on tragedy.

VC, via the Internet

I suggest that you publicly challenge Jonathan Yardley to repeat these views [regarding Horowitz's ad on Black reparations] on behalf of revisionist access to both college papers and the mainstream media. To preclude the possibility that he will describe revisionists as evil monsters, you might send him a copy of John Sack's Esquire article.

NH, Washington D.C.

To put this letter in context: Jonathan Yardley is a columnist for the Washington Post. *On 5 March he titled his column "Politically Corrected." It focused on two California universities, UC Berkeley and UC Davis. Each ran the Horowitz ad. Each was attacked by special interest groups. The editor of each paper fell apart and printed an abject apology. The editor of the* Daily Cal *at Berkeley [Daniel Hernandez] wrote that the First Amendment does not apply to paid expression, and that "freedom of speech is compromised when it is bought. Ads are for selling something, not preaching. And buying space to preach a viewpoint is unfair in that it does not allow a direct opposing view".*

Yardley responds*: "If [Hernandez] really thinks that buying space to preach a viewpoint is unfair he needs to re-enroll in the basics of constitutional law. If he really thinks that buying space to preach a viewpoint is unfair, he needs to re-enroll in Journalism 101. From there he and all others of like mind can march into the English department, where, if they get lucky, they may be able to find someone who can explain the difference between 'racism' and 'dissent.'"*

The idea to ask Yardley to write similarly about CODOH publishing revisionist ads is to the point. But I have been through this too many times. Yardley is not going to engage me on this subject. Yardley is one of the guys I have to bypass. The Internet provides the highway to do that, not individual letters to

individual columnists. If Yardley ever decides to face revisionist theory directly, he will do so because of pressure from a growing public consensus produced by massive amounts of information being distributed to tens of thousands of people.

LATEST STATISTICS FROM CODOHWEB

WEEK ENDING	ACCESSES
MARCH 24	148,840
MARCH 31	155,100
APRIL 7	199,900
APRIL 14	210,910

The upswing in hits the last couple weeks may be due to the interest created by the *Free Press* fiasco at U Chicago. We're not sure. Some of the tech language in the statistics page suggests that the added accesses are coming from – Spain! We may or may not be able to sort it out. But this is how to get the revisionist word out into the great wide world. The grass roots of cyberspace. The time is not yet come when we can work with individual columnists who have high positions in mainstream media. They have too much to lose.

Continued from page 1

originally in the *Daily Northwestern* ten years ago that formally kicked off the Campus Project. It's the most widely read revisionist text in the world. It's time that Greater Chicago had another look at it.

Received a note from Marlier that the text of my ad is too long for one page – it's over 3,000 words. I either have to buy more space and cut the text. I have only two days before the *Free Press* goes to the printer. I'd have to cut it down to about 1,800 words. Difficult. The next morning I put my mind to it and in three hours got it down to 1,950 words and send it to Marlier. I hear back that afternoon: "This will do it. Thanks."

So I'm in. This is going to cause a devil of a stink.

I have a couple questions to ask about when the paper will actually be distributed and if there are any safe guards against it being stolen, as it is going to be a very controversial issue. There is no response from the FP. So far, we have been in close communication. The following day there is no response either. I begin to feel uneasy. I know the routine.

If it has leaded out that the FP is going to run a full-page CODOH ad, and it probably has, the editor is going to be under great pressure to kill it. I want to send press releases to Chicago Radio, and to the print press. I also have an opinion piece ready. If I move now and the ad is not run, it won't work. If I wait too long, I will not be timely. I hear nothing.

Still no word from the Free Press. I have no way to know which way the cat will jump. Can't wait. This morning I mail a press release to talk radio producers in the Chicago area headed: "Will the University of Chicago Free Press Run a Full-Page Advertisement from Committee for Open Debate on the Holocaust Story?" I included the text of the advertisement that is to run in the *Free Press*. My angle is that if the ad runs it will be a good story, and if it doesn't run that will be a good story too. The release will be in Chicago the day the ad is supposed to run (10 April).

The University of Chicago Free Press has distributed its April issue and our CODOH ad is not in it. My man in Chicago has faxed me the full page "Letter to Our Readers," signed by the editorial staff, explaining why the ad, though solicited by it's editor-in-chief, was suppressed. The letter is interesting for what it reveals, and then it is very interesting for what it conceals.

In his final email communication to me, after the paper is distributed, the FP editor-in-chief Ian Marlier explains why the ad was not run.

> *The deal is this. One of our editors is an observant Jew, whose grandparents on one side were both killed at Auschwitz. Say what you will about proof, they went in and didn't come back out. Beyond that, it's not a discussion that's worth having.*
>
> *She is a fourth year, and is about to graduate. She has worked for the free press for the entire time that she has been here. Initially she had no objections, but after reading the ad and looking over the Website, she asked that her name be taken off the issue if the ad ran.*
>
> *The other three of us who serve as editors-in-chief or as editors-at-large discussed the matter in light of that, and decided that in the end the weight of intellectual argument fell very strongly on the side of running the ad; however, we are a college paper with a small staff, and the fact that we might alienate a member of our staff was compelling.*

I responded with a short note saying okay, but that protecting the sensibilities of a few at the expense of the intellectual freedom of all others is a betrayal of journalistic ethics.

Meanwhile, my man in Chicago faxes me a copy of the FP's full-page "A Letter to our Readers." The letter beats around the bush for about 1,500 words of self-justification. Toward the end of the screed you can read this:

> *The universe of journalistic ethics is not exhausted by the antiseptic logic of free speech principles. You could not call a journalistic institution I f it failed to accord a substantial amount of respect to its staff members, particularly those who have invested uncountable quantities of time and energy into the institution's well being. There is, therefore, a certain threshold at which a staff member's personal convictions [supercedes] detached questions of how to best accommodate public discourse.*

Nowhere in the full-page Letter to Our Readers, however, does the principled editorial staff of the UC *Free Press* mention that the staff member whose sensibilities were

being protected is an observant Jew. Why not? And of course there is no thought whatever given to the sensibilities of Germans. The University of Chicago *Free Press* is free to those whose sensibilities rule the day – today. Thinking back to the Horowitz ad against reparations for Blacks, it occurs to me that in 1840, say, it would have been impossible for me to place an advertisement in a college paper in Mississippi calling for intellectual freedom for Blacks.

That's what we mean when se say there's nothing new under the sun.

Submitted a small ad to the University of Chicago *Maroon*, and the UC *Weekly News*. Heard back within minutes from the *Chicago Weekly News*. "Sorry, but we have decided to not run this advertisement." (Signed) Gordon Parrish. Have yet to hear from the *Maroon*.

As to the two dozen or so Chicago radio stations where I solicited interviews regarding the *Free Press* debacle, I've heard nothing.

Lose one, lose one.

(BRS)

A TIME FOR NEW BEGINNINGS

McKenzie Paine

Greetings to one and all from (now) sunny Mexico! Instead of plowing through mud we're enjoying springtime with all of its frolicking youthfulness. Our tomcat, Mickey, decided to bring home a stray girlfriend during the rains and now her kittens are just starting to peek out from under the house. The neighbor's roosters keep everyone entertained as they strut their stuff through the wild mustard, and horses and cattle have once again been turned out to graze on our little hilltop. Sitting out on the front porch, watching the calves and colts kick and play, the hens trotting by with their broods, one can't escape the enthusiasm and feeling that this is a time for new beginnings.

As you know, Bradley has been through some difficult times lately and we've had to rethink our working relationship. While he is entrenched on the ground I have taken to the airwaves, becoming an Internet Activist, employing the arguments and strategies learned from the master. And guess what, folks? We're starting to make so much progress (we being all of us!) that I'm actually beginning to feel like we're on the winning side. This is not hype—I mean it with all sincerity. Our war-horses that have been embattled for so many decades, which have fought so bravely for intellectual freedom and historical truth, are finally receiving the respect they so richly deserve. They are finally being listened to. They are finally being encouraged. Indeed, this is a time for new beginnings.

I realize that so many of you who don't have computers are probably scratching your heads wondering what planet I'm on these days. If you're limited to the traditional media outlets it seems like business as usual. But on the Internet, where information flows freely through cyberspace, from one Internet Activist to another, around the globe in a matter of seconds, it's a whole different world.

Let me give you just one example. Most of you know that the usual culprits managed to pressure the Lebanese government into banning the scheduled conference on Zionism and Revisionism just this past March. But did you know this? The cancellation has been condemned by the majority of the Arab intellectual community.

Around the time that the Lebanese government was showing signs of caving into the US pressure to ban the conference, I read a message by Dr. Ibrahim Alloush on a Palestinian Right to Return list. (A list is much like a public bulletin board.) The Dr.'s message gently defended Revisionists against others on the list who had posted frantic messages that Arab association with Revisionists could only harm the Palestinian cause. I contacted Dr. Alloush and asked if he would post an appeal I had written, urging Arab intellectuals to work shoulder to shoulder with the Revisionists for the benefit of all. He very kindly posted my appeal, I introduced him to some of our leading Revisionists and voila! A new working relationship was formed.

Immediately after the conference was banned, Dr. Alloush informed several of us that the Jordanian Writers Association was going to sponsor a forum to discuss the cancellation. I was just sitting here minding my own business late one evening when we received a private email from Dr. Alloush informing us that he had been "invited for a cup of coffee" with the Chief of Police the following morning. I thought it strange at the time that he had sent this message shortly after 2:00 am Jordan time, but later learned that that's when he had received the summons. Imagine, calling citizens at 2:00 am to invite them for a cup of coffee.

After the "coffee" Dr. Alloush filled us in on what had happened. Rather than a friendly cup of coffee, the three men scheduled to speak at the forum (including Dr. Alloush) and the president of the Jordanian Writers Association were taken to the governor's office where they were told that they had to postpone the forum, "on orders from Prime Minister Ali Abu Ragheb, who was acting on orders from King Abdallah himself." Our friends refused! They defied their king in defense of intellectual freedom and free speech. The press, however, was notified by an unidentified source that the forum had been canceled so only a few dozen attended. A new forum date has now been set for April 22 and the organizers are reported to be even more enthusiastic.

Importantly, on the eve of the same day they had been sum-

moned, they attended a meeting of the Association against Zionism and Racism where there was standing room only. During the meeting, after the scheduled speakers, ". . .the whole event turned into an open discussion on the events of the day and the government's order to postpone the Forum on Historical Revisionism. Speaker after speaker rose up spontaneously to declare their support for revisionist historians. . .it was a fabulous gathering."

This is only one example of our progress in taking Revisionism to the people. It's a time for new beginnings, and we've begun. Keep the faith!

[McKenzie Paine is the pen name of our old friend Audrey.]

Continued from page 1

more months, but I no longer have the time to put anything off. A number of you pointed to proofing issues that I will address.

If you have any further reactions or observations about the ms., I'm all ears. Let me hear from you. You might open a door that I would never have thought to open myself. When I first announced this work-in-progress I suggested I could do a first small printing in March. At that time I did not know how deeply my family life would intrude upon such plans. While a March printing is out of the question (we are already into April), I will go ahead with the work as efficiently as possible.

Australia's Jewish community has launched a court action against Frederick Toben, who has ignored an order that he apologize for defaming the memory of the dead. He did this by claiming on his Website that the Nazi gas chamber story is a fable.

The Executive Council of Australian Jewry is seeking the enforcement of a Human Rights and Equal Opportunities Commission (HREOC) order against Toben. The commission, a government body, last October ordered German-born Toben to remove Holocaust revision material from his Adelaide Institute Website and apologize to the council's national vice-president Jeremy Jones.

In an interview for ABC Radio Toben said he might apologize if he had been tactless or crude, but not for stating that the gas chamber stories are an invention.

"I found out that the gas chambers never existed.... Truth will be my defense, because if you take away my freedom to think and to speak, then you take away my humanity."

How can it be said any more simply?

A new film, *The Grey Zone*, is being called "A Holocaust Horror Story Without a Schindler (NYT, Arts & Leisure, 7 January 2001). It's all about the sondercommandos who, in exchange for assisting in the extermination of fellow Jews "were granted privileges unavailable to the other inmates: after their 14-hour shifts, they would repair to their quarters above the crematorium, where they smoked and drank and read books and ate caviar and sausages...." Sondercommando quarters "above" the crematoriums? Whatever happened to them?

Paloma is doing very well in group, not so well in school. She keeps all her appointments, never leaves me in the lurch. She's very unhappy, has long fits of sobbing in her room. She refuses all consolation. We do have our laughs, we horse around, but she tells me she is going to leave, no matter what.

She likes to frighten me. She will hide in doorways, in the closets, sneak up on me when I'm working at the computer. Sometimes she's successful, sometimes not. Tonight she waited beside the television where the little hallway ends and when I passed she jumped out. It was one of those times when it worked. After the first instant, I saw the humor in it.

"Okay," I said. "You got me that time. I admit it. It was like a thread of electricity passed through my heart."

"I'm working up to a lightning bolt," she said. She was laughing happily "I'm training for the big one. The one. The one where you won't even know what hit you."

Wrote to Unlimited Publishing LLC, an Internet company that specializes in short-run , revenue sharing book publishing. Told them I have a controversial manuscript to publish and that I would like to know up front if they were interested in working with it. I attached the Preface and first chapter of ***HATE: A True Story*** and asked them to take a look at it.

Heard back from Danny O. Snow, UP's CEO.

> *We are certainly advocates of open discussion here, and we appreciate the chance to talk to you. Our concerns would be more in terms of liability and insurance issues, rather than political correctness. As you probably know, a lawsuit or boycott doesn't need to have real merit in order to hurt. However, I also think that intelligent people can often find ways to reduce their exposure to things like this ... Pls give me a couple days next week to talk to the partners, then let's "chat" again.*

I suggested that while there is little chance that UP will be sued, there is a significant chance that it will suffer a boycott. That's one of the ways revisionist theory is routinely suppressed.

The Jordanian Writer's Association in Amman was to hold a forum about "What Happened to the Revisionist Historians Conference in Beirut?" (see **SR** 78 for background). The Beirut conference was to take place on 30 March but the Lebanese Government banned it. The purpose of the Amman Forum, according to a

press release by Ibrahim Aloush, editor of the *Free Arab Voice*, was to give Arab intellectuals and writers an opportunity to defend freedom of expression in the Arab world and to "set the record straight on revisionist historians." The forum was to take place on 8 April in Amman but was banned by Jordanian authorities.

A supporter sends me three clippings from the *Modesto Bee* addressing the flap over the Horowitz ad on Black reparations. Modesto is a small town in a farming community north of Fresno in the San Joaquin Valley. The clippings are dated from 18 through 27 March.

The first is a straightforward news story distributed by the Associated Press. It deals primarily with Brown University, where Brown Interim President Sheila Blumstein supports the Brown *Daily Herald*. "The most effective response to ideas – even to ideas that might be deeply offensive – is not to silence them or intimidate those who espouse or publish them, but rather to develop effective opposing arguments through wider civil discourse."

The second is a column by Linda Bowles distributed by Creators Syndicate. She writes: " The First Amendment right to free speech does not apply to talk liberal intellectual do not wish to hear. Even professors need to watch their mouths. … If you say something liberals do not like, you are guilty of hate speech … Free speech is under attack in America, and liberals are leading the attack."

The third is a column by Black libertarian Thomas Sowell. It's headlined "Storm Troopers vs. Free Speech." He ridicules the idea of Black reparations, and ends with: "The painful irony is that those who are crying out against the slavery of the past include many who are trying to impose an enslavement of the mind today through storm trooper tactics. Those who are cowed into silence look pathetic when compared with those who fought slavery in the past."

What strikes me about these articles is how the scandal of Horowitz's ad has invaded the consciousness of the readers of a small-town newspaper in a California farming community. I suspect it's happening all over America.

An AP story in the *Dayton Daily News* (14 March) informs me that "Morton Downy Jr., Pioneer of "Trash TV' Genre, Dies at 68." The Morton Downey Jr. show went national in 1989 and was a great success. "Downy would go nose-to-nose with his guests, spittle and insults flying. He deliberately blew cigarette smoke in their faces, and his out bursts sometimes provoked shrieking arguments … and it wasn't' long before critics were bemoaning the end of civil discourse in America.

I did the Downey show one evening in the early 1990s. His studio was across the Hudson River in Fort Lee NJ. That night I thought the interview was going rather well. I had an agreement with Downy that during commercials he would not show the old films of the mass graves at Bergen-Belsen and so on without giving me a chance to comment on them. He broke his word, exhibited the film, and then would not let me address it. Then, as the interview was ending he began insulting me in the most vicious and obscene manner. I was rather set back. It was one of the few times I got angry doing an interview. We were sitting across a desk from one another and I began measuring the distance between my right fist and the end of Morton's nose. I have never come closer to nailing someone, without nailing him, than I did that night. I was a nanosecond away from punching him out on camera before a national television audience. He was leaning forward over the desk and I could have reached the target. I can still feel the impulse that surged through me. I managed to hold back.

Fritz Berg and some other revisionists were in the audience that evening. After I left the stage and Downey was having some back and forth with the audience, Fritz went up stage and confronted Downey across the desk. He offered to go one on one with Downey right then and there. Downey calmed down instantly. Afterwards we all went out to eat then returned to Fritz's house and had a fine evening. I used to have audiocassettes of the before and after, and a video of the interview itself, but it looks like they have gotten lost in my travels.

As for Morton Downey Jr. -- may he rest in peace.

[*Thanks to D.D. in North Carolina for forwarding this clipping*].

St. Cloud U, Minnesota's second largest university, is embroiled in a bitter controversy over charges of anti-Semitism among the faculty and administration, according to a 1 April story in the Washington Post and authored by the noted journalist William Claiborne.

Claiborne notes that the University has 750 faculty members, 15 of which are Jews, and 15,000 students of which perhaps a dozen are Jews, something of an anomaly. Nevertheless, the number of Holocaust courses taught each year at St. Cloud has been increased from two in 1986 to 16 now and the university's six-year-old "Center for Holocaust and Genocide Education, has stepped up is program of cultural education" so we have nothing to worry about.

Among other complaints, some of which may have something to them for all I know, is that "last year, a 24-page supplement contending that the Holocaust was a hoax was inserted into the campus newspaper." That reference is to CODOH's *The Revisionist*, which were inserted into 5,000 copies of the *University Chronicle* on 29 March 2000. The next issue of the *Chronicle* exhibited the now infamous photograph – it's on the Internet on CODOHWeb -- of a Jewish professor leading a public burning of *The Revisionist*.

How many of the three dozen Jewish faculty members and students protested this Goebbels-like insult to the ideal of intellectual freedom? How many of the 15,750 other professors and students protested it? I didn't hear of any, which gives us some idea of the work that lies before us.

On 10 April Mark Weber, director of the Institute for Historical Review, distributed an "An Open Letter to Fourteen Arab Intellectuals." The fourteen were the guys who urged the Lebanese government to cancel the revisionist conference that was to be held in Beirut. It's a good, strong letter. Here are a few excerpts from it:

> *Recently you issued a public statement calling on authorities in Lebanon to ban the "Revisionism and Zionism" conference in Beirut, scheduled for March 31 through April 3, which our Institute had been helping to organize. (This was reported, for example, in Le Monde, March 16.)*
>
> *Your call came shortly after three major Jewish-Zionist organizations— the World Jewish Congress, the Anti-Defamation League and the Simon Wiesenthal Center—denounced the meeting and demanded that Lebanon forbid it. Not surprisingly, Israel's ambassador in France publicly praised your statement.*
>
> *Your call to Lebanese authorities to forbid a peaceful, privately-organized meeting of scholars, writers and researchers that would be perfectly legal in most countries, including the United States, is a blow against the cause of freedom, peace and justice.*
>
> *Is it your view that such individuals should not be permitted to speak anywhere, or just not in Lebanon, or just not at a meeting organized by the IHR? Is your call for censorship limited to Lebanon, or may we expect calls from you to ban similar meetings in France, Canada, the United States, and other countries? Consistent with your call to ban the "Revisionism and Zionism" meeting, may we now expect your support for censorship of revisionist books, magazines and broadcasts?*

Picked up my tax return today from H&R Block. My total taxable income for last year is $10,901. On that amount I owe $1,614. I'll send them $400 maybe and pay the rest on installments. Fifteen year's work.

I recall speaking at an IHR conference a few years ago when I asked rhetorically "What makes me such a good Holocaust revisionist activist?" I noted two things: one was that I persevered year after year after year. The other was that I always put revisionism first and my family second. I was joking around. It got a good laugh. I remember one woman in the audience shaking her head "no." She meant to tell me that I had it backwards. Now I have a daughter who needs special care and the only places where she can get it are beyond my ability to pay. Now it's not so funny.

We increasingly hear of a growing interest in revisionism among Arabs. The biggest single event to have precipitated this turnabout appears to have been the publication in France of ex-communist-turned-Muslim Roger Garaudy's *The Founding Myths of Israeli Politics,* and his lecture tours through Arab and Moslem capitals in the Middle East. Last year *Myth* was published in English by the Institute for Historical Review. Two years before that we had published it in English on CODOHWeb.

In America, Arab and Muslim organizations have been unwilling to associate publicly with revisionists. So I was pleasantly surprised in January when I was contacted by the Muslim Student Association at University of California-San Diego. The previous semester I had paid to insert a copy of *The Revisionist* in 11,000 copies of the UCSD *Daily Aztec.* Muslims students had gone to CODOHWeb, which was my purpose with distributing **TR.** Now they were preparing to present an "Anti-Zionism Week" at UCSD and thought perhaps I could be a speaker. I was to present myself at a special planning meeting of the MSA at UCSD. I was happy to oblige.

Meanwhile, my wife had been in an accident on the coast road south of Puerto Nuevo in Baja. A couple drunken women had hit her so hard that they broke the rear axle of our car. No one was hurt, but now I would have to get to UCSD by bus. In the event, the bus from Rosarito passed through the hill town of La Gloria. At that time Paloma was institutionalized there in a primitive detox center. Knowing that my daughter was just up the street from where I was passing in the bus was heart wrenching. Then, at the frontier I got off the bus at the wrong place and had to walk in the rain for a mile or so before I could cross the riverbed. I reached the meeting place in San Ysidro at just the appointed hour. I was met by the Egyptian-American student who was to drive me up to the university.

It was the first time I had addressed an Arab or Muslim audience. I presented the case for intellectual freedom being in the best interest of Palestinians just as it is for revisionists, for exactly the same reasons. There was some interest, but not enough. They really wanted me to attack Zionism, not argue for intellectual freedom. In the end, I was informed that I would not be invited to speak. Nevertheless, it was a worthwhile experience for me.

Later I received an email communication from the UCSD Muslim Association.

> *Here is the student flyer we sent out to the school. Da Zionists are going crazy. The school keeps getting phone calls. They paid $750 to run a full page add about Zionism being about "establishing a pluralistic society and a modern democracy." What a bunch of crap. The school paper is publishing a three-week series on our anti-semitic activities. If that doesn't show Zionists have control over media I don't know what will. Guess I'm an anti-Semite, holocaust denier. Peace, (name withheld by **SR**).*

The flyer contained telling quotes about the history of Zionist/Israeli policies in the Middle East, and appended was a schedule of events organized by the Muslim Students' Association that would last through the entire month of February.

A supporter in Washington D.C. sends me a full-page advertisement from the *Washington Times* (19 March) titled "An Open Letter to U.N. Secretary-General Kofi Annan. Headlined: ESTABLISH A WAR CRIMES TRIBUNAL FOR ISRAEL, it was signed by the Council on American-Islamic Relations, the Muslim American Society, the Muslim Public Affairs Council, the American Muslim Alliance, the Islamic Society of North America, the Islamic Circle of North America, the American Muslims for Jerusalem, and (I was pleased to read) the Muslim Students' Association of the US and Canada.

MONTREAL. (*The Jewish Tribune,* 5 April 2001). "An anti-Israel dominated slate will govern the Concordia Student Union (CSU) beginning in the next school year, but officials from B'nai B'rith Canada and the Hillel Jewish Student Centre insist they will be ready.

"In one of the most controversial student elections ever held at Concordia, the left-wing ACCESS (Muslim) group won a majority of seats on the CSU last week. The ballot also included a 'referendum question' asking whether Canada should cut diplomatic and economic ties with Israel." The "Yes" side won.

The story reports "The Arab students are very persistent. There seem to be exhibitions and tables attacking Israel almost every day. As a result Jewish students feel under siege from this barrage of propaganda ... Both Rector Frederick Lowy and Provost Jack Lightstone are Jewish." The story then informs us that "It is very difficult for a Jewish-led administration to clamp down on freedom of speech."

I hadn't known that. I had thought over the last ten years that Jewish led (an influenced) administrations are pretty good at clamping down on freedom of speech. In any event, here we have Muslims, and Arabs in particular, acting out on a Canadian university campus. Music to my ears. And I should think that this news would bring a small smile to our friend Ernst Zuendel.

I used the Internet to submit a small ad to *The Concordian.* A gesture of solidarity.

Germar Rudolf, the absolutely indefatigable German revisionist and Web master, informs us that his Website, <vho.com > has surpassed CODOH in the number of documents being accessed, and the amount of information being downloaded. We send our congratulations!

If only every revisionist Website on the Internet stored a larger archive of revisionist documents than CODOH does, and every revisionist site produced more downloads that CODOH – can you imagine where we would be?

While the great majority of documents on Germar's site are in German, I do not see that as a minus but as a great plus. Isn't it the Germans who we want to bring into revisionism? Isn't it the Germans who need revisionism most? This is a great feat that Germar has pulled off. And he is not slighting English language documents. He is also providing his readers, document by document, every important paper ever published in the *Journal of Historical Review.* In short, he is doing a great deal of work, and he is doing it in great fashion.

My daughter Paloma disappeared on Saturday 7 April. We have heard that she has been sighted in Baja. My primary feeling is that I am very glad to find that she is most likely alive. I don't know exactly what to do. My wife is working on it there, I'm working on it here.

THE MOST POPULAR PAGES ON CODOHWEB FOR THE MONTH OF MARCH 2001

George Orwell
-- Richard Widmann

Speech of Reichsführer-SS Heinrich Himmler at Posen 4 October 1943,
-- trans. Carlos Porter

How Fahrenheit 451 Trends Threaten Intellectual Freedom,
-- Richard Widmann

The Founding Myths of Israeli Politics, -- Roger Garaudy

The Suppressed Eichmann and Goebbels Papers, -- David Irving

Zyklon B and the German Delousing Chambers, -- Friedrich P. Berg

Defending Against the Allied Bombing Campaign: Air Raid Shelters and Gas Protection in Germany, 1939-1945, -- Samuel Crowell

Hitler's War: An Introduction to the New Edition, -- David Irving

The Revisionist Store, by CODOH

Bild-»Dokumente« zur NS-Judenverfolgung? -- Udo Walendy

Note: Files listed have the highest number of accesses for the month.

I feel greatly indebted to those of you who are helping me at this peculiar juncture in my life. There really is – no one else.

Bradley

NEW ADDRESS

Smith's Report

is produced by
Committee for Open Debate on the Holocaust (CODOH)

For your contribution of $29
you will receive eleven issues of
Smith's Report
Canada and Mexico $35
Overseas $39

All checks and correspondence to

Bradley R. Smith
Post Office Box 3296
Visalia, California 93278

Telephone: 559 732 8360

E-mail: CABRSMITH@prodigy.net

On the Internet: www.codoh.com